Shape Optimization Under Uncertainty - A Stochastic Programming Perspective

Sergio Conti¹ Harald Held¹ Martin Rumpf² Rüdiger Schultz¹

¹Department of Mathematics University Duisburg-Essen {conti, held, schultz}@math.uni-duisburg.de

²Institute for Numerical Simulation Rheinische Friedrich-Wilhelms-Universität Bonn martin.rumpf@ins.uni-bonn.de

Jahrestagung der Deutschen Mathematiker-Vereinigung 2006

Harald Held (University Duisburg-Essen)

Outline

Introduction and Problem Description

2 Two-Stage Stochastic Programming Formulation

- Two-Stage Stochastic Linear Programming Formulation
- Random Shape Optimization Problem
- 3 Shape Derivative and Level-Set Method

4 Numerical Results

Outline

Introduction and Problem Description

Two-Stage Stochastic Programming Formulation

- Two-Stage Stochastic Linear Programming Formulation
- Random Shape Optimization Problem

3 Shape Derivative and Level-Set Method

4 Numerical Results

Deformations Depend on the Shape



Problem Setting



- Elastic body $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$
- The boundary ∂O consists of two disjoint parts:

$$\partial \mathcal{O} = \Gamma_N \cup \Gamma_D, \ \Gamma_D \neq \emptyset$$

- Internal forces f
- External forces g

 \rightsquigarrow displacements $u \rightsquigarrow$ strain characterized by linearized strain tensor

$$e(u) = \frac{1}{2}(\nabla u + \nabla u^T), \ e_{ij} = \frac{1}{2}(u_{i,j} + u_{j,i})$$

イロン イボン イヨン イヨン

Elastic material behaves according to Hooke's law

 $A\xi = 2\mu\xi + \lambda(\mathrm{tr}\xi)\mathrm{Id}, \text{ for any symmetric matrix } \xi$

 \mathcal{O} varying \rightsquigarrow working domain D, contains all admissible shapes, $f \in L^2(D)^d$, $g \in H^1(D)^d$

PDE $\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(Ae(u)) = f & \text{in } \mathcal{O}, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_D, \\ (Ae(u))n = g & \text{on } \Gamma_N \end{cases}$

Elastic material behaves according to Hooke's law

 $A\xi = 2\mu\xi + \lambda(\mathrm{tr}\xi)\mathrm{Id}, \text{ for any symmetric matrix } \xi$

 \mathcal{O} varying \rightsquigarrow working domain D, contains all admissible shapes, $f \in L^2(D)^d$, $g \in H^1(D)^d$

PDE $\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(Ae(u)) = f & \text{in } \mathcal{O}, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_D, \\ (Ae(u))n = g & \text{on } \Gamma_N \end{cases}$

・ロト ・ 母 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Elastic material behaves according to Hooke's law

 $A\xi = 2\mu\xi + \lambda(\mathrm{tr}\xi)\mathrm{Id}$, for any symmetric matrix ξ

 \mathcal{O} varying \rightsquigarrow working domain D, contains all admissible shapes, $f \in L^2(D)^d$, $g \in H^1(D)^d$

PDE $\begin{cases}
-\operatorname{div}(Ae(u)) = f & \text{in } \mathcal{O}, \\
u = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_D, \\
(Ae(u))n = g & \text{on } \Gamma_N
\end{cases}$

< ロ > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > <</p>

Composite Finite Elements

Standard FE:

- mesh has to resolve the structure of the domain
- therefore, min. dim. of FE space is directly linked to number and size of geometric details of the domain
- More efficient: Composite FE (Developed by S. Sauter)
 - allow coarse-level discretizations of PDEs on complicated domains
 - principle idea: the shape of FE functions is hierarchically adapted to behavior of the solution \rightsquigarrow discretization of problems with complicated structures with very few unknowns

Examples for Objective Functions

• Compliance

$$J(\mathcal{O}) = \int_{\mathcal{O}} f \cdot u \, \mathrm{d}x + \int_{\Gamma_N} g \cdot u \, \mathrm{d}s$$

• Least square error compared to target displacement

$$J(\mathcal{O}) = \left(\int_{\mathcal{O}} |u - u_0|^2 \,\mathrm{d}x\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

Optimization Problem

existence of optimal shapes requires smoothness, geometrical or topological constraints

イロン イボン イヨン イヨン

Examples for Objective Functions

• Compliance

$$J(\mathcal{O}) = \int_{\mathcal{O}} f \cdot u \, \mathrm{d}x + \int_{\Gamma_N} g \cdot u \, \mathrm{d}s$$

• Least square error compared to target displacement

$$J(\mathcal{O}) = \left(\int_{\mathcal{O}} |u - u_0|^2 \,\mathrm{d}x\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

Optimization Problem

 $\inf_{\mathcal{O}\in\mathcal{U}_{\mathrm{ad}}}J(\mathcal{O})+\ell P(\mathcal{O})$

existence of optimal shapes requires smoothness, geometrical or topological constraints

Harald Held (University Duisburg-Essen)

Outline

Introduction and Problem Description

Two-Stage Stochastic Programming Formulation

- Two-Stage Stochastic Linear Programming Formulation
- Random Shape Optimization Problem

3 Shape Derivative and Level-Set Method

4 Numerical Results

< ロ > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回</p>

Outline

Introduction and Problem Description

2 Two-Stage Stochastic Programming Formulation

- Two-Stage Stochastic Linear Programming Formulation
- Random Shape Optimization Problem

3 Shape Derivative and Level-Set Method

4 Numerical Results

< ロ > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回</p>

Two-Stage Stochastic Linear Program

Information Constraint

decide $x \mapsto$ observe $z(\omega) \mapsto$ decide $y = y(x, z(\omega))$

$$\min_{x} \{ c^{T}x + \min_{y} \{ q^{T}y : Wy = z(\omega) - Tx, y \in Y \} : x \in X \}$$
$$\min_{x} \{ c^{T}x + G(x, \omega) : x \in X \}$$

 \rightarrow looking for a minimal member in family of random variables $\{c^T x + G(x, \omega) : x \in X\}$

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・

Risk-Neutral Setting

In this case, the random variables are ranked by their expectations.

$$\rightsquigarrow \min\{\mathbb{E}_{\omega}[c^T x + G(x,\omega)]: x \in X\}$$

イロン イロン イヨン イヨン

Outline

Introduction and Problem Description

Two-Stage Stochastic Programming Formulation

- Two-Stage Stochastic Linear Programming Formulation
- Random Shape Optimization Problem

3 Shape Derivative and Level-Set Method

4 Numerical Results

< ロ > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回</p>

General Objective Function

$$J(\mathcal{O}, u(\mathcal{O}, \omega)) = \int_{\mathcal{O}} j(u) \, \mathrm{d}x + \ell \int_{\partial \mathcal{O}} \, \mathrm{d}s, \ \mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{U}_{\mathrm{ad}}, \ell > 0$$

u = u(O, ω) is the solution of the PDE
assume j(.) is linear or quadratic and independent of ω

DMV 2006 15 / 45

イロン イボン イヨン イヨン

The Two Stages

- First stage Non-anticipative decision on $\mathcal O$ has to be taken
- The random forces $f(\omega), g(\omega)$ are observed
- Second Stage The variational formulation of elasticity, given O and ω , takes the role of the second-stage problem

Information constraint here decide $\mathcal{O} \mapsto \text{observe } f(\omega), g(\omega) \mapsto \text{decide } u = u(\mathcal{O}, \omega)$

Variational Formulation of Elasticity

u also coincides with the minimizing element of

$$\inf\{E(\mathcal{O},\varphi;\omega): \varphi \in H^1(\mathcal{O})^d, \varphi = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_D\},\$$

$$E(\mathcal{O},\varphi;\omega) = \int_{\mathcal{O}} \frac{1}{2} A e(\varphi) \cdot e(\varphi) - f(\omega) \cdot \varphi \, \mathrm{d}x - \int_{\Gamma_N} g(\omega) \cdot \varphi \, \mathrm{d}s$$

Notation

$$A \cdot B = \operatorname{tr}(A^T B) = \sum_{i,j=1}^d A_{ij} B_{ij}$$

Two-Stage Shape Optimization Problem

$$\min \left\{ \ell \int_{\partial \mathcal{O}} ds + \int_{\mathcal{O}} j(u(\mathcal{O}, \omega)) dx : \\ u(\mathcal{O}, \omega) = \operatorname{argmin} \{ E(\mathcal{O}, \varphi; \omega) : \varphi \in H^1(\Gamma_D)^d \}, \mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{U}_{ad} \right\}$$

DMV 2006 18 / 45

イロン イロン イヨン イヨン

Direct Comparison with Linear Case

Linear 2-Stage Problem

$$\min\{F(x) + \mathbb{E}[G(\bar{y}(x,\omega)] : x \in X, \\ \bar{y}(x,\omega) \in \operatorname{argmin}\{G(y) : y \in Y(x,\omega)\} \}$$

2-Stage Shape Optimization Program

$$\min\{\tilde{f}(\mathcal{O}) + \mathbb{E}[\tilde{g}(\mathcal{O}, \bar{u}(\mathcal{O}, \omega))] : \mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{U}_{ad}, \\ \bar{u}(\mathcal{O}, \omega) \in \operatorname{argmin}\{\tilde{e}(\mathcal{O}, u, \omega) : u \in H^1\}$$

Structure of Random Forces

Now, the volume forces f and surface loads g are random with special structure:

$$\rightsquigarrow f = f(\omega), \ g = g(\omega),$$

- finitely many forces $f_1, \ldots, f_{K_1} \in L^2(\mathcal{O})^d$ and $g_1, \ldots, g_{K_2} \in H^1(\mathcal{O})^d$
- random coefficients $h_i^f(\omega), i = 1, ..., K_1$ and $h_i^g(\omega), i = 1, ..., K_2$ such that

$$f(\omega) = \sum_{i=1}^{K_1} h_i^f(\omega) f_i, \ g(\omega) = \sum_{i=1}^{K_2} h_i^g(\omega) g_i$$

Structure of Random Forces

• Additional requirement:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{K_1} h_i^f(\omega) = 1, \; \sum_{i=1}^{K_2} h_i^g(\omega) = 1, \; orall \omega$$

• finitely many scenarios ω_i , i = 1, ..., S which occur with probabilities π_i , i = 1, ..., S

Lagrangian Functional

Consider Euler's equation as a constraint in the minimization problem and introduce the adjoint state ψ to construct a Lagrangian functional:

$$L(\mathcal{O},\varphi,\psi;\omega) = J(\mathcal{O},\varphi) + dE(\mathcal{O},\varphi,\omega;\psi)$$

First Variation

$$\left. \mathrm{d} E(\mathcal{O},\varphi,\omega;\psi) = \left. \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\varepsilon} E(\mathcal{O},\varphi+\varepsilon\psi;\omega) \right|_{\varepsilon=0}$$

イロン イボン イヨン イヨン

Optimality Conditions

The stationarity of the Lagrangian gives the optimality conditions:

$$egin{aligned} &\left\langle \partial_{\varphi}L(\mathcal{O}, \varphi^{0}, \psi^{0}; \omega), \phi
ight
angle = 0, \forall \phi \in H^{1}(\mathcal{O})^{d}, \ &\left\langle \partial_{\psi}L(\mathcal{O}, \varphi^{0}, \psi^{0}; \omega), \phi
ight
angle = 0, \forall \phi \in H^{1}(\mathcal{O})^{d} \end{aligned}$$

- first condition ~> adjoint problem
- second condition ~→ elasticity PDE

ヘロト ヘアト ヘビト ヘビト

Adjoint Problem

The adjoint state *p* is the solution of the following problem:

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(Ae(p)) = -j'(u) & \text{in } \mathcal{O}, \\ p = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_D, \\ (Ae(p))n = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_N \end{cases}$$

 \rightarrow will be needed for the shape derivative

Observations

- optimality conditions allow exactly one feasible solution ($\varphi_{opt}, \psi_{opt}$)
- therefore, it's the optimal solution
- can be obtained by solving elasticity PDEs
- *j* was assumed to be at most quadratic $\rightsquigarrow j'$ is linear
- consequently, optimality conditions are linear in u, p, f, g and ϕ

Re-written Problem Formulation

Notation

 $u^{(\mu,\nu)}, p^{(\mu,\nu)}$ denote the solutions of the elasticity problem $(P_{\mu,\nu})$ and the adjoint problem $(\hat{P}_{\mu,\nu})$, resp., with forces f_{μ} and $g_{\nu}, \mu \in \{1, \dots, K_1\}, \nu \in \{1, \dots, K_2\}$

$$\min\{ \begin{array}{ll} \ell \int_{\partial \mathcal{O}} ds + \sum_{k=1}^{S} \pi_k \int_{\mathcal{O}} j(\bar{u}(\mathcal{O}, \omega_k)) \, dx : \\ \mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{U}_{ad}, \\ \bar{u}(\mathcal{O}, \omega_k) := \sum_{\mu=1}^{K_1} h_{\mu}^f(\omega_k) \sum_{\nu=1}^{K_2} h_{\nu}^g(\omega_k) u^{(\mu,\nu)}, \\ k = 1, \dots, S, \\ u^{(\mu,\nu)} \text{ solves } (P_{\mu,\nu}), \\ \forall (\mu, \nu) \in \{1, \dots, K_1\} \times \{1, \dots, K_2\} \end{array}$$

Solution

Linearity and minimizing the expected value \Rightarrow suffices to solve $K_1 + K_2$ PDEs, which is independent of the number of scenarios *S*.

Outline

Introduction and Problem Description

Two-Stage Stochastic Programming Formulation

- Two-Stage Stochastic Linear Programming Formulation
- Random Shape Optimization Problem

3 Shape Derivative and Level-Set Method

4 Numerical Results

< ロ > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回</p>

Shape Derivative

Definition (Allaire et al)

The shape derivative of $J(\mathcal{O})$ at \mathcal{O} is defined as the Fréchet derivative in $W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)^d$ at 0 of the mapping $\Theta \to J((\mathrm{Id} + \Theta)(\mathcal{O}))$, i.e.

$$J((\mathrm{Id} + \Theta)(\mathcal{O})) = J(\mathcal{O}) + \langle J'(\mathcal{O}), \Theta \rangle + o(\Theta)$$

with $\lim_{\Theta \to 0} \frac{|o(\Theta)|}{||\theta||} = 0$, where $J'(\mathcal{O})$ is a continuous linear form on $W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)^d$.

Form of Shape Derivative

The shape derivative is of the form

$$\langle \tilde{J}'(\mathcal{O}), \Theta \rangle = \int_{\partial \mathcal{O}} v \Theta \cdot n \, \mathrm{d}s,$$

with a function $v = v(\bar{u}_k, \bar{p}_k, n, H)$.

Domain Represented by Level-Set Function

 \mathcal{O} is described by means of a level-set function Φ in D:

$$\begin{cases} \Phi(x) = 0 & \Leftrightarrow x \in \partial \mathcal{O} \cap D, \\ \Phi(x) < 0 & \Leftrightarrow x \in \mathcal{O}, \\ \Phi(x) > 0 & \Leftrightarrow x \in (D \setminus \bar{\mathcal{O}}) \end{cases}$$

- normal *n* to \mathcal{O} is $\frac{\nabla \Phi}{|\nabla \Phi|}$
- mean curvature *H* is given by div*n*

ヘロト ヘアト ヘビト ヘビト

Shape Derivative in Level-Set Notation

- only variations in normal direction are interesting
- domain ${\mathcal O}$ is identified with level-set function Φ

 $\sim \rightarrow$

$$\left< \tilde{J}'(\Phi), \vartheta \right> = -\int_{[\Phi=0]} v \frac{\vartheta}{|\nabla \Phi|} \, \mathrm{d}s$$

イロン イボン イヨン イヨン

Outline

Introduction and Problem Description

Two-Stage Stochastic Programming Formulation

- Two-Stage Stochastic Linear Programming Formulation
- Random Shape Optimization Problem

3 Shape Derivative and Level-Set Method

4 Numerical Results

< ロ > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回</p>

Test Setting

 $\partial \mathcal{O}$ is divided into 3 parts:

- Γ_D : the fixed Dirichlet boundary
- Γ_N : part of the Neumann boundary where the surface loads act on; this is also fixed and does not move during the optimization process
- Γ_0 : all other parts of the boundary; this is the only part of ∂O to be optimized

Test Setting

objective function (compliance with $f \equiv 0$):

$$J(\mathcal{O},\omega) = \int_{\Gamma_N} g(\omega) \cdot u \,\mathrm{d}s + \ell R_i(\mathcal{O})$$

with regularization terms

$$R_1(\mathcal{O}) = \int_{\partial \mathcal{O}} ds \text{ (and volume preservation)},$$

$$R_2(\mathcal{O}) = \int_{\mathcal{O}} dx$$

Instance 1 - Initial Shape



ヘロト ヘアト ヘビト ヘビト

Instance 1 - Optimal shapes for g_0 and g_1



イロン イボン イヨン イヨン

Instance 1 - Optimal shapes for $\frac{1}{2}g_0 + \frac{1}{2}g_1$ and 2 scenarios



Instance 2 - Initial shape and optimal shape for g_0



DMV 2006 39 / 45

イロン イボン イヨン イヨン

Instance 2 - Optimal shapes for $\frac{1}{2}g_0 + \frac{1}{2}g_1$ and 2 scenarios



イロン イボン イヨン イヨン

Instance 3 - Initial shape



Harald Held (University Duisburg-Essen)

DMV 2006 41 / 45

< ロ > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回</p>

Instance 3 - Optimal shapes for g_0 and g_1



イロン イボン イヨン イヨン

Instance 3 - Optimal shape 2 scenarios



Harald Held (University Duisburg-Essen)

DMV 2006 43 / 45

< ロ > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回</p>

Instance 4 - Initial and Optimal Shapes





Harald Held (University Duisburg-Essen)

Instance 5 - Optimal shape for g_0 and g_1 and 2 scenarios





< ロ > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回</p>